# I he Synthesis of Six-Membered P-Heterocycles with Sterically Demanding Substituent on the Phosphorus Atom

György Keglevich,<sup>1</sup> Henrietta Forintos,<sup>1</sup> Melinda Sipos,<sup>1</sup> András Dobó,<sup>2</sup> Krisztina Ludányi,<sup>2</sup> Károly Vékey,<sup>2</sup> Antal Tungler,<sup>3</sup> and László Tőke<sup>4</sup>

Received 6 March 2001; revised 30 March 2001

ABSTRACT: The ring enlargement of 1-(2,4,6-trialky-lphenyl)2,5-dihydro-1H-phosphole oxides (1) via 6,6-dichloro-3-phosphabicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes (2) afforded the double-bond isomers of 1,2-dihydrophosphinine oxides (3). Catalytic hydrogenation of the isomeric 1-(di-tert-butyltolyl)-1,2-dihydrophosphinine oxides (3a) gave the diastereomers of phosphinane oxide (4), while that of the 1-(tri-isopropylphenyl) isomers (5) led predominantly to phospholane oxides (6) formed by ring contraction. © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Heteroatom Chem 12:528–533, 2001

# INTRODUCTION

The introduction of sterically demanding substituents on the heteroatom of organophosphorus

compounds may affect the physical and the chemical properties of the substrate to a large extent [1]. A bulky P-substituent, such as the 2,4,6-trialkylphenyl group, may have an impact on the geometry around the P-pyramid [2–4]. On the other hand, the reactivity of the P=O moiety is also influenced by the presence of the trialkyphenyl substituent [5,6]. In this article, we describe the synthesis of some 6-membered heterocycles containing a di-tert-butyltolyl or a tri-tert-butylphenyl group on the phosphorus atom.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We wished to utilize the dichlorocarbene ring enlargement method elaborated by us for the synthesis of 6- and 7-membered P-heterocycles [7–9]. Using this method, dichlorocarbene was added onto the double bond of 2,5-dihydro-1*H*-phosphole oxides **1a,b** to form 3-phosphabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane oxides **2a,b** (Scheme 1). It was observed that the choice of the source of the dichlorocarbene had an impact on the outcome of the dichlorocyclopropanation of dihydrophosphole oxide **1a**. The use of dichlorocarbene generated from chloroform by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Organic Chemical Technology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 1521 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Chemical Research Center, 1525 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Chemical Technology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 1521 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at the Department of Organic Chemical Technology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 1521 Budapest, Hungary

Correspondence to: György Keglevich.

Contract Grant Sponsor: Ministry of Higher Education (FKFP). Contract Grant Number: 363/1999.

Contract Grant Sponsor: Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA).

Contract Grant Number: T029039. © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

## SCHEME 1

aqueous sodium hydroxide under phase transfer catalytic (PTC) conditions led to two phosphabicyclohexane diastereomers  $(2_1a \text{ and } 2_2a)$ ; isomer  $2_1a$  predominated over form  $2_2a$ . At the same time, using sodium trichloroacetate as the precursor for dichlorocarbene, only isomer 22a was found to have been formed. This was also the case during the synthesis of the tri-*tert*-butylphenyl derivative ( $\mathbf{2}_{2}\mathbf{b}$ ). All isomers  $(2_1a, 2_2a, and 2_2b)$  were obtained in a pure form by column chromatography. The stereostructures of isomers  $2_1$  and  $2_2$  were assigned on the basis of stereospecific  ${}^{3}J_{PC}$  couplings. The  ${}^{3}J_{PC}$  coupling of 5.4 Hz detected on C-6 suggested structure  $2_1a$ , while the coupling constants of 11.8 and 20.3 Hz confirmed a geometry represented by form  $2_2$  [10,11]. The phosphabicyclohexanes (2a,b) were characterized by <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR, as well as mass spectroscopy. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral parameters are listed in Table 1. Elemental composition of the new products (2a,b) was supported by HRMS.

In the second step of the ring enlargement, the cyclopropane ring of adducts 2a,b was opened thermally. The 1,2-dihydrophosphinine oxides (**3a,b**) so formed were obtained as a mixture of two doublebond isomers  $(3_1a_1b)$  and  $3_2a_1b)$  (Scheme 1). To our surprise, the tert-butyl group in the para position of the arvl ring was also split during the thermolysis of tri-tert-butyl derivative 2<sub>2</sub>b. The dihydrophosphinine oxides (3a,b) were characterised by <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR, as well as mass spectroscopic data. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral parameters of dihydrophosphinine oxides 31a,b can be found in Table 2. The elemental composition of products **3a,b** was confirmed by high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS). The  $\delta_{P}$ chemical shifts for the double-bond isomers (3<sub>1</sub> and 3<sub>2</sub>) of aryl-dihydrophosphinine oxides 3a and 3b fall in the expected region of 16-19 ppm. It is noteworthy that, while the 1-(2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)-4-chloro-3-methyl-1.2-dihydrophosphinine 1-oxide described earlier displayed a  $\delta_P$  of 19.1, the 5-methyl isomer exhibited a  $\delta_P$  of 45.9 that is almost 30 ppm downfield of the expected region [11]. Semiempirical calculations suggested that the unique shift is the consequence of an electron distribution due to a special geometry [11].

Disregarding structure identification, there was no need to separate phosphabicyclohexane isomers  $\mathbf{2}_1$  and  $\mathbf{2}_2$ . The thermolysis could be efficiently run on isomeric mixtures. Thermal examinations of adducts  $\mathbf{2}\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  suggested  $135^{\circ}$ C to be the optimum temperature of the thermolyses. At a higher temperature, extensive decomposition of the starting phosphabicyclohexanes ( $\mathbf{2}\mathbf{a}$ , $\mathbf{b}$ ) and the dihydrophosphinine oxides ( $\mathbf{3}\mathbf{a}$ , $\mathbf{b}$ ) was observed to take place. Obviously, the polymerization of the dihydrophosphinine oxide ( $\mathbf{3}$ ) also decreased the yield.

We wished to extend the sphere of trialkylphenyl P-heterocycles to phosphinane oxides. For this, the mixture containing the double-bond isomers of dihydrophosphinine oxide 3a was subjected to catalytic hydrogenation. As expected, the reduction furnished phosphinane oxide 4 as a mixture of diastereomers  $(4_1 \text{ and } 4_2)$  (Scheme 2). Product 4 was characterized by <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, as well as mass spectroscopic data. The spectral parameters are consistent with those reported earlier for other phosphinane oxides [12,13]. The  $\delta_P$  of ca. 38 clearly refers to the saturated six-membered heteroring with a phosphine oxide function [12,13]. Interestingly, catalytic hydrogenation of the isomeric mixture of the earlier described triisopropylphenyldihydrophosphinine oxide (5) gave predominantly three dimethyl-phospholane oxides (61, 62 and  $\mathbf{6_3}$ ); the expected phosphinane-isomers ( $\mathbf{7_1}$  and  $\mathbf{7_2}$ ) formed only a minor component of the reaction

TABLE 1 <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data for the Isomers (2<sub>1</sub> and 2<sub>2</sub>) of Phosphabicyclohexane Oxides 2a,b in CDCl<sub>3</sub> Solution

Compound		$\delta$ (J <sub>PC</sub> in Hz)															
	C <sub>1</sub>	$C_2$	C <sub>4</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>5</sub>	$C_6$	C <sub>1</sub> - CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{1'}$	$C_{2'}$	$C_{3'}$	$C_{4'}$	$C_{5'}$	$C_{6^{'}}$	$C_{2'}$ – $C(CH_3)_3$ $C_2$	<sub>4′</sub> – C(CH <sub>3</sub> )	<sub>3</sub> C <sub>2′</sub> – CMe <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4'</sub> – CMe <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>6'</sub> - CH <sub>3</sub>
2 <sub>1</sub> a			35.6 (66.6)			22.3 ) (8.3)	130.1 (85.0)		124.3 <sup>a</sup> (11.0)		124.5 <sup>a</sup> (10.8)	139.7 (13.0)	31.1 <sup>b</sup>	33.2 <sup>b</sup>	34.8 <sup>c</sup>	38.6 <sup>c</sup>	25.1 (4.8)
2 <sub>2</sub> a	35.9	42.4	36.2 (69.0)	36.9	71.9	21.6	,	155.0	123.9 <sup>d</sup> (11.6)	152.9 (2.2)	125.2 <sup>d</sup>	142.1	30.9 <sup>e</sup>	33.5 <sup>e</sup>	34.5 <sup>f</sup>	37.9 <sup>f</sup>	24.2 (5.0)
2 <sub>2</sub> b	35.2	40.7	39.2 (72.3)	33.6	73.7	20.3	124.7 (91.8)	158.9		152.6 (3.2)	, ,	` ,	33.4	31.1	33.7	34.8	, ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a-f</sup>May be reversed. <sup>g</sup>Broad signal.

TABLE 2 <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data for Dihydrophosphinine Oxides 3<sub>1</sub>a,b in CDCl<sub>3</sub> Solution

		δ (J <sub>PC</sub> in Hz)															
Compound	<i>C</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>5</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> – CH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>1′</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>2′</sub>	$C_{3'}$	$C_{4'}$	C <sub>5′</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>6′</sub>	$C_{2'}$ – $C(CH_3)_3$	C <sub>4'</sub> – C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	3 C <sub>2′</sub> – CMe <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4'</sub> – CMe <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>6′</sub> – CH <sub>3</sub>
3 <sub>1</sub> a		124.0 (20.3)		139.0 )	122.9 (94.0)		126.3 (100.6)		123.6 <sup>b</sup> (11.2)	153.6	124.0 <sup>b</sup> (10.9)	141.0 (13.0)		33.3 <sup>c</sup>	34.7 <sup>d</sup>	38.1 <sup>d</sup>	25.0 <sup>a</sup> (6.3)
3 <sub>1</sub> b		124.1 (19.6)		´144.2 )	120.0 (93.3)	23.6	` <i>e</i> ′	151.6 <sup>°</sup>	124.8 (10.8)	126.7	,	,	31.5		35.2		,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a-d</sup>May be reversed. <sup>e</sup>Not resolved.

### SCHEME 2

mixture (Scheme 3). Among the phospholane oxides,  $6_1$  is racemic, while  $6_2$  and  $6_3$  are symmetrical. The <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral parameters of isomers  $6_1$ ,  $6_2$ , and  $6_3$  were identical with those of authentic samples prepared by the hydrogenation of 1-(2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)-3,4dimethyl-2,5-dihydro-1H-phosphole oxide [6]. The  $\delta_P$  values of 52.7, 57.8, and 60.9 obtained for  $\boldsymbol{6_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{6}_{2}$ , and  $\mathbf{6}_{3}$ , respectively, fall well in the range that is characteristic of phospholane oxides. Products 7<sub>1</sub> and 72 were characterized by 31P and 13C NMR, as well as mass spectroscopical data. It is noteworthy that under the conditions of the catalytic hydrogenation (80°C and 10 bar), a ring contraction took place. We thought that the hydrochloric acid formed during the reduction might also promote the ring transformation. The result of a hydrogenation carried out in the presence of one equivalent of triethylamine, however, excluded this possibility; moreover, the product composition was shifted in favor of the dimethyl-phospholane oxides (95% vs. 5%). During the catalytic hydrogenation of di-tert-butyltolyldihydrophosphinine oxide 3a, only traces of the cor-

responding dimethylphospholane oxides ( $\mathbf{8_1}$  with  $\delta_P$  50.3 and  $\mathbf{8_2}$  with  $\delta_P$  54.2) could be detected. In the present stage of the work, it is not clear why only in the case of triisopropylphenyl substituent was a significant ring contraction observed. The electron-releasing ability of the P-substituent is probably responsible for the ring contraction that has never been observed.

In the next stage of our work, the P-arylheterocycles introduced will serve as suitable starting materials in a study focused on the reactivity of trialkylphenyl derivatives.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

General Procedure for the Preparation of Phosphabicyclohexanes **2**<sub>2</sub>**a,b** by the Dichlorocyclopropanation of Dihydrophosphole Oxides **1a,b** Using Sodium Trichloroacetate

A mixture of 5.0 mmol of dihydrophosphole 1, 0.12 g (0.53 mmol) of TEBAC, and 23.2 g (0.125 mol) of sodium trichloroacetate in 50 mL of chloroform was stirred at reflux for 7 days. The contents of the flask were then filtered, and the solvent of the filtrate evaporated. The residual oil was purified by repeated column chromatography (chloroform; 2% methanol in chloroform; benzene–acetone 4:6, silica gel) to give product 2<sub>2</sub>.

Compound **2**<sub>2</sub>**a**: Yield 32%;  $\delta_P$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 80.7;  $\delta_C$ , Table 1;  $\delta_H$  1.27 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.51 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.68 (s, 3H, C<sub>3</sub>–Me), 2.67 (s, 3H, C<sub>6</sub>–Me); MS, m/z (rel. int.) 400 (M<sup>+</sup>, 7), 385 (4), 365 (100), 249 (47); HRMS,  $M_{found}^+$  = 400.1515,  $C_{21}H_{31}Cl_2OP$  requires 400.1490 for the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotopes.

Compound **2<sub>2</sub>b**: Yield 41%;  $\delta_P$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 80.5;  $\delta_C$ , Table 1;  $\delta_H$  1.29 (s, 9H, C<sub>4</sub>–CMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.47 (s, 3H, C<sub>3</sub>–Me), 1.51 (s, 18H, C<sub>2</sub>–CMe<sub>3</sub>); MS, m/z (rel. int.) 442 (M<sup>+</sup>, 7), 407 (14), 385 (100), 291 (34); HRMS, M<sup>+</sup><sub>found</sub> = 442.1975, C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>37</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>OP requires 442.1959 for the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotopes.

Synthesis of Phosphabicyclohexane **2**<sub>1</sub>**a** by the Dichlorocyclopropanation of Dihydrophosphole Oxide **1a** Using CHCl<sub>3</sub>–NaOH/H<sub>2</sub>O under PTC

To the solution of 1.0 g (3.15 mmol) of dihydrophosphole 1 and 0.15 g (0.66 mmol) of TEBAC in 40 mL of chloroform was added dropwise 6.0 g (0.15 mol) of sodium hydroxide in 9 mL of water. The mixture was stirred with heating for 4 hours. After filtration and separation, the organic phase was made up to its original volume, and 0.15 g (0.66 mmol) of TEBAC was added. The reaction mixture was treated with a second portion of aqueous sodium hydroxide as previously. The workup procedure afforded a crude mixture containing 89% of 2<sub>1</sub>a and 11% of 2<sub>2</sub>a. Separation by column chromatography afforded 0.56 g (44%) of isomer  $\mathbf{2}_1\mathbf{a}$ .  $\delta_P$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 82.9;  $\delta_C$ , Table 1;  $\delta_H$ 1.31 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.57 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.66 (s, 3H,  $C_3$ -Me), 2.47 (s, 3H,  $C_6$ -Me); MS, m/z (rel. int.) 400  $(M^+, 6)$ , 385 (4), 365 (100), 249 (31); HRMS,  $M_{\text{found}}^+ =$ 400.1531,  $C_{21}H_{31}Cl_2OP$  requires 400.1490 for the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotopes.

General Procedure for the Preparation of the Double-Bond Isomers (**3**<sub>1</sub> and **3**<sub>2</sub>) of dihydrophosphinine oxides **3a,b** 

A 1.5 mmol sample of adduct **2** (either as pure isomer  $\mathbf{2}_1$  or  $\mathbf{2}_2$ , or as an isomeric mixture) was heated in a vial at 135°C until the evolution of hydrochloric acid ceased. The crude product was purified by repeated column chromatography (as previously) to furnish product **3** as the mixture of  $\mathbf{3}_1$  and  $\mathbf{3}_2$  isomers in a purity of 95–97%.

Compounds **3**<sub>1</sub>**a** and **3**<sub>2</sub>**a**: Reaction time 1.5 hours; yield 31%;  $\delta_P$  18.9 (79%) and 17.3 (21%);  $\delta_C$ , Table 2;  $\delta_H$  1.32 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.66 (s, 9H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 2.11 (s, 3H, Me), 2.28 (s, 3H, Me), 6.31 (dd,  $J_1 = J_2 = 12.7$ , 1H, C<sub>6</sub>-H), 6.72 (dd,  $J_1 = 12.7$ ,  $J_2 = 35.3$ , 1H, C<sub>5</sub>-H); MS, m/z (rel. int.) 364 (M<sup>+</sup>, 94), 349 (13), 329 (100), 249 (6); HRMS,  $M_{found}^+ = 364.1762$ , C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>30</sub>ClOP requires 364.1723 for the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotope.

Compounds **3**<sub>1</sub>**b** and **3**<sub>2</sub>**b**: Reaction time 1 hours; yield 34%;  $\delta_P$  17.1 (77%) and 16.2 (23%);  $\delta_C$ , Table 2;  $\delta_H$  1.31 (s, 18H, CMe<sub>3</sub>), 2.06 (s, 3H, Me), 6.20 (dd,  $J_1 = J_2 = 12.6$ , 1H, C<sub>6</sub>–H), 6.88 (dd,  $J_1 = 12.7$ ,  $J_2 = 34.3$ , 1H, C<sub>5</sub>–H); HRMS,  $M_{\text{found}}^+ = 350.1598$ , C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>ClOP requires 350.1566 for the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotope.

General Procedure for the Catalytic Hydrogenation of the Double Bond Isomers of Dihydrophosphinimine Oxides **3a** and **5** 

To the mixture of 1.20 mmol of the dihydrophosphinine oxide (3a or 5) in 35 mL of methanol was added 0.2 g of 5% palladium on carbon, and the suspension was then hydrogenated at 10 bar and  $80^{\circ}$ C until 3 equivalents of hydrogen were absorbed. The mixture was filtered, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 3% methanol in chloroform) to give  $4_1$  and  $4_2$  (experiment 1) or the mixture of  $6_1$ ,  $6_2$ ,  $6_3$ ,  $7_1$ , and  $7_2$  (experiment 2).

*Experiment 1.* Yield: 36%; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 38.6 (66%) and 37.1 (34%); fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FABMS); 335 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; (M+H)<sup>+</sup><sub>found</sub> = 335.2447, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>36</sub>OP requires 335.2504; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for isomer **4**<sub>1</sub>: δ 21.8 (J=5.4, C<sub>5</sub>), 22.2 (J=7.8, C<sub>3</sub>–Me), 23.2 (C<sub>6</sub>–Me), 28.9 (J=65.1, C<sub>6</sub>), 30.5 (J=5.4, C<sub>3</sub>), 30.9 (C<sub>2</sub>–C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 33.4 (C<sub>4</sub>–C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 34.6 (J=5.3, C<sub>4</sub>), 34.6 (C<sub>2</sub>–CMe<sub>3</sub>), 38.4 (C<sub>4</sub>–CMe<sub>3</sub>), 39.9 (J=63.1, C<sub>2</sub>), 123.9 (J=9.7, C<sup>\*</sup><sub>3'</sub>), 124.3 (J=9.2, C<sup>\*</sup><sub>5'</sub>), 139.3 (J=14.3, C<sub>6'</sub>), 152.6 (C<sub>4'</sub>), 158.6 (J=7.0, C<sub>2'</sub>). (\*,may be reversed.)

Experiment 2. To collect enough material, the hydrogenation was repeated. The combined mixture containing  $\mathbf{6}_1$  (29%),  $\mathbf{6}_2$  (38%),  $\mathbf{6}_3$  (14%),  $\mathbf{7}_1$  (14%) and  $\mathbf{7}_2$  (5%) was refined by repeated column chromatography (as described previously) to afford a fraction containing mainly dimethylphospholane oxides  $\mathbf{6}_1$  ( $\delta_P$  52.7,  $\delta_P$  lit. [6] 52.9),  $\mathbf{6}_2$  ( $\delta_P$  57.8,  $\delta_P$  lit. [6] 57.9) and  $\mathbf{6}_3$  ( $\delta_P$  60.9,  $\delta_P$  lit. [6] 61.0), as well as another fraction consisting of the diastereomers of phosphinane oxide  $\mathbf{7}$ .

Yield of **7**<sub>1</sub> and **7**<sub>2</sub>: 8%; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  38.6 (74%) and 37.4 (26%); FABMS, 335 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for isomer **7**<sub>1</sub>:  $\delta$  21.8 (J = 6.6, C<sub>5</sub>), 23.7 (C<sub>4</sub>–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.0 (J = 14.9, C<sub>3</sub>–Me), 25.2 (C<sub>2</sub>–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 29.3 (C<sub>4</sub>–CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 30.2 (C<sub>2</sub>–CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 30.6 (J = 65.3, C<sub>6</sub>), 34.2 (C<sub>3</sub>), 35.1 (J = 5.0, C<sub>4</sub>), 40.0 (J = 62.7, C<sub>2</sub>), 123.1 (J = 11.6, C<sub>3</sub>′), 124.3 (J = 89.8, C<sub>1</sub>′), 152.0 (C<sub>4</sub>′), 153.9 (J = 12.0, C<sub>2</sub>′).

# **REFERENCES**

- [1] Yoshifuji, M. Main Group Chem News 1998, 6, 20.
- [2] Quin, L. D.; Keglevich, Gy.; Ionkin, A. S.; Kalgutkar, R.; Szalontai, G. J Org Chem 1996, 61, 7801.
- [3] Keglevich, Gy.; Quin, L. D.; Böcskei, Zs.; Keserű, Gy. M.; Kalgutkar, R.; Lahti, P. M. J Organomet Chem 1997, 532, 109.
- [4] Keglevich, Gy.; Böcskei, Zs.; Keserű, Gy. M.; Újszászy, K.; Quin, L. D. J Am Chem Soc 1997, 119, 5095.
- [5] Keglevich, Gy.; Forintos, H.; Szöllosy, Á.; Tőke, L. Chem Commun 1999, 1423.
- [6] Keglevich, Gy.; Forintos, H.; Keserű, Gy. M.; Hegedűs, L.; Tőke, L. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4823.
- [7] Keglevich, Gy. Synthesis 1993, 931.

- [8] Keglevich, Gy.; Petneházy, I.; Miklós, P.; Almásy, A.; Toth, G.; Tőke, L.; Quin, L. D. J Org Chem 1987, 52,
- [9] Keglevich, Gy.; Androsits, B.; Tőke, L. J Org Chem 1988, 53, 4106.
- [10] Keglevich, Gy.; Kovács, A.; Tőke, L. Acta Chim Hung 1994, 131, 513.
- [11] Keglevich, Gy.; Keseru, Gy. M.; Forintos, H.; Szöllösy, Á.; Ludányi, K.; Tőke, L. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1 1999, 1801.
- [12] Keglevich, Gy.; Kovács, A.; Újszászy, K.; Tungler, A.; Tóth, G.; Tőke L. Phosphorus Sulfur 1992, 70,
- [13] Keglevich, Gy.; Tungler, A.; Novák, T.; Tőke, L. J Chem Res 1996, 528.